IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CWJC No.9966 of 2008
HAKINA KHATOON
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR A& ORS
with
CWJC No.10138 of 2008
GAYATRI DEVI
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.10490 of 2008
DROPADI DEVI
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.10491 of 2008
DINESH PANDEY
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.10764 of 2008
UMESH CHANDRA CHAUDHARY
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.10928 of 2008
BASUDEO PRASAD SINHA
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.11217 of 2008
CHANDRIKA DUTTA MISHRA
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.11311 of 2008
SHRINANDAN MISHRA
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.11418 of 2008
DHARICHHAN THAKUR
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.11597 of 2008
BAIJ NATH GOSAI
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.12203 of 2008
CHANDRA DEO PRASAD SINGH
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.12215 of 2008
VISHAMBHAR TIWARI
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

with
CWJC No.12297 of 2008
BINOD MANDAL
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.12307 of 2008
DWARIKA SHARMA
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.12440 of 2008
SHRI HARI DAMODAR
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.13026 of 2008
DEOKI NANDAN SINGH
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.13279 of 2008
DEOKANT SHARMA
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.13502 of 2008
BISHWANATH PRASAD GUPTA
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
with
CWJC No.13517 of 2008
SUDARSHAN RAM
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR & ORS

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

2 **05.10.2010** Heard learned counsels appearing for the petitioners, the State and the Accountant General.

The above writ applications were filed in the year 2008 however till date no counter affidavit has been filed and the payment of retiral dues are still pending according to the writ petitioners.

Learned counsels appearing for the respondent-State submits that the claim of the respective petitioners shall be considered without delay as per law.

In the above circumstances, for expeditious disposal of the pending claims as highlighted in these writ applications, concerned petitioners are permitted to file their separate representations before the concerned District Superintendent of Education/ District Education Officer/District Provident Fund Officer as the case may be with copy of the same to the Accountant General and the concerned Treasury Officer.

In view of the above, in case such separate representations stating details of unpaid claims are filed by the concerned petitioners within a period of four weeks from today along with the certified copy of the present order as also the copy of the relevant documents, if any, in support of the claim, the grievances of the concerned petitioners raised in their respective representations be considered in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of four months on receipt of such representations so that the grievances of the petitioners may be settled without delay and upon consideration the admitted dues if any may also be paid to the petitioners along with interest permissible under the rules. However, upon consideration if any of the claim or part of the claims are not being paid the same may be informed with reasons thereof and communicated to the concerned petitioners by post under registered cover.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

All the above noted writ application stand disposed of with the above observations/directions.

Manish/- **(Shailesh Kumar Sinha,J.)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL